RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 0 3 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Applicant: Zhu) A	rt Unit: 2132
Serial No.: 09/840,954) E:	caminer; Lanier	
Filed:	April 24, 2001) 50	P4401.01
For:	IP-BASED ARCHITECTURE COMPUTING NETWORKS	FOR	MOBILE) 75	ay 3, 2005 0 B STREET, Suite 3120 In Diego, CA 92101

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated April 14, 2005, the following remarks are submitted. Claims 1-5, 8, and 9 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Ala-Laurila et al. (USPN 6,587,680) in view of Bayeh et al. (USPN 6,098,093), and Claims 7, 10, 12-20, 22-25, 29, and 30 have been rejected as being unpatentable over Ala-Laurila et al. in view of Bayeh et al. and further in view of Rautila et al. (USPN 6,549,625). Claims 26-28 have been rejected as being unpatentable over Ala-Laurila et al. in view of Bayeh et al. and further in view of Rautila et al. and Ladue, USPN 6,070,070.

The rejection of Claim 1 proposes to modify Ala-Laurila et al. to strip session names from packet headers as taught in Bayeh et al. "to determine if a session has been created and if not, to create a new session as taught in Bayeh et al." The rejection relies on teachings in the primary reference, cols. 4 and 5, along with col. 10, lines 22-25 of Bayeh et al.

1168-20.AME